

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/526,363	Applicant(s) LIIMATAINEN, JANNE	
		Examiner ELENI A. SHIFERAW	Art Unit 2436	

All Participants:

(1) ELENI A. SHIFERAW.

(2) Jeremy Mereness.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21, 22, 23, 25-26, 28-31, 33, 34, 36-42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eleni A Shiferaw/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2436

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to request authorization to amend the claims to incorporate dependent claims with the base claims to particularly point out the applicant's invention and to solve 112 antecedent problems. The Applicant's representative authorized the Examiner, on August 13, 2009, to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.